FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

JUN -5 2018

United States of America,	Case No. 4-18-70194 MAGERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN
Plaintiff,)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Oscor Post 79 Defendant.	
For the reason stated by the parties on the record of Trial Act from, to, to, to, to	the Court excludes time under the Speedy 1018, and finds that the ends of justice served by the c and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § bases this continuance on the following factors:
Failure to grant a continuance wo See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(I).	uld be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the pros or law, that it is unreasonable to	olex, due to [circle applicable reasons] the number of ecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	uld deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, f due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance wo counsel's other scheduled case co See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv	uld unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mmitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.).
	ruld unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time n, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
For the reasons stated on the reconstant of the reasons stated on the reconstant of the following forms of the reasons stated on the reconstant of the reasons stated on the reconstant of the reasons stated on the reconstant of the reasons of the reasons stated on the reconstant of the reasons of the reconstant of the reasons of the re	rd, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § ent of the defendant under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure
For the reasons stated on the recordance 3161(h)(1)(E)(F) for delay resulting	rd, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § ng from removal/transport of the defendant to another district.
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: June 5, 2618	Kandis A. Westmore United States Magistrate Judge
	//

Assistant United States Attorney

STIPULATED:

Attorney for Defendant